ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI MONDAY, MARCH 27, 2017

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, was held on Monday, March 27, 2017, at 4:02 p.m., at the City Hall, 9345 Clayton Road. The following members were present:

The Hon. Nancy Spewak, Mayor, presiding. Members of the Council present:

Patrick Hensley John Fox Harold Burroughs William Brennan

Absent:

A. Charles Hiemenz John Howell

Four Council Members present.

Also present were Ms. Laura Rider, City Clerk/Assistant to the Mayor, Police Chief Rich Wooten, Fire Chief Steven Lynn, Ms. Anne Lamitola, Public Works Director; Mr. Will Penney, Building Official; Ms. Andrea Sukanek, City Planner and Ms. Erin Seele, City Attorney. Mr. Sam Zes, Finance Director, was absent.

Approval of the Agenda: Mayor Spewak announced that Item D-2(a) Request for approval to authorize Chief Wooten to purchase a portable LiveScan fingerprint scanning unit under the Missouri Procurement/State Contract #C21509100 from Morpho Trak at a cost of \$17,120.00 has been withdrawn. Council Member Fox moved approval of the agenda as amended, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, which motion passed unanimously.

Approval of Minutes: Mayor Spewak asked if there were any amendments, corrections or clarifications to the minutes of the regular and closed meetings of February 21, 2017. Council Member Burroughs moved approval of the minutes as submitted for the regular and closed meetings of February 21, 2017, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

Public Forum: A resident expressed appreciation to the Mayor and Council for the quick resolution to the access issue from Pine Acre Road on to McKnight Road.

Public Hearings: PH1 - The City Council will hold a public hearing on a recommendation submitted by the Zoning and Planning Commission that the City Council approves a Special Use Permit for DRA Properties, L.L.C. to construct a stealth communication tower at a height of 85'. The proposed tower is designed to look like

a pine tree with foliage not to exceed 88'. The tower will be located at 9810 S. Outer Forty Road (west side of the property) in the D Residential zoning district.

Council Member Fox moved to open the public hearing, seconded by Council Member Brennan, which motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Erin Seele, City Attorney, stated that the hearing notice, the Zoning and Planning File ZPC 16-16 as well as the entire Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 1175 are entered as part of the record and are available for review.

The transcript of the public hearing is attached as part of the minutes.

Council Member Fox moved to close the public hearing, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, which motion passed unanimously.

Council Member Hensley moved approval of a Special Use Permit for DRA Properties, L.L.C. to construct a stealth communication tower at a height of 85', designed to look like a pine tree with foliage not to exceed 88', located at 9810 S. Outer Forty Road, with the following additional conditions:

- 1. DRA Properties shall meet with the owners of residential properties adjacent to the mulch site that would have view of the tower to provide for additional landscaping to help screen the view of the tower from their properties. DRA Properties shall then provide landscaping where appropriate for additional screening for owners of residential properties that would have view of the tower, in accordance with a landscaping plan provided by DRA Properties and approved by the City of Ladue.
- 2. DRA properties shall provide appropriate landscaping around the perimeter of the fence, in accordance with a landscaping plan provided by DRA properties and approved by the City of Ladue.
- 3. This SUP is subject to final approval from the St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District.

seconded by Council Member Burroughs, which motion passed unanimously.

PH2 – The City Council will hold a public hearing on a recommendation submitted by the Zoning and Planning Commission that the City Council approves a proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance (#1175) and the Ladue Code of Ordinances regarding development standards for storm water management and erosion control. Proposed changes to the ordinance include green space requirements for residential development, definition of green space, storm water management requirements for new construction, and erosion control requirements during construction. The amendment also includes a proposed change to Chapter 94 of the Ladue Code of Ordinances regarding requirements for storm water management in subdivisions.

Council Member Brennan moved to open the public hearing, seconded by Council Member Fox, which motion passed unanimously.

The transcript of the public hearing is attached as part of the minutes.

Council Member Fox moved to close the public hearing, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

Zoning & Planning Matters:

ZP1: Referral to the Zoning and Planning Commission for consideration of two text amendments to the City's Zoning Ordinance (#1175).

Suggested amendment #1 – Drive-through facilities shall be prohibited.

This amendment will involve changing Section II (General Provisions) to add "drive-through facilities" to the prohibited uses. Section VII regarding Special Use Permits (SUP) will also be amended to remove the ability to obtain an SUP for a drive-through restaurant or shop. Drive-throughs for banks will continue to require an SUP. In addition, definitions will be added to Section XIV for clarification.

Suggested amendment #2 – Non-residential developments that will use more than a certain square footage of floor area within a building shall require a Special Use Permit.

The current proposal is 7,500 square feet of floor area. This amendment would be added to Section VII regarding Special Use Permits and would apply to uses in the G Commercial District.

Ms. Sukanek provided a brief explanation of the suggested amendments and commented that the prohibited uses and requirements for special use permits are somewhat out of date. There was discussion regarding drive-throughs and vehicle stacking requirements.

Council Member Fox moved referral of the two text amendments to the City's Zoning Ordinance #1175 to the Zoning and Planning Commission for review and recommendation, seconded by Council Member Brennan, which motion passed unanimously.

Old Business: None

New Business:

Proposed Legislation:

Bill No. 2145 – An ordinance of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, repealing Ordinance 2139 and authorizing the mayor to execute an agreement on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission whereby the Commission grants permission to allow the installation of ornamental fencing and the City agrees to provide for the maintenance thereof.

Mayor Spewak read Bill No. 2145 for a first reading. Council Member Fox read Bill No. 2145 for a second reading.

Ms. Lamitola explained that there were some minor revisions requested by the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDot) to the previously approved agreement for the installation and maintenance of the Schnucks/Desco ornamental fencing.

Council Member Fox moved approval of Bill No. 2145, seconded by Council Member Hensley and the vote thereupon was as follows:

Council Member Fox	"Aye"
Council Member Brennan	"Aye"
Council Member Hensley	"Aye"
Council Member Burroughs	"Aye"

There being four aye votes and zero nay votes the motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Spewak declared Bill No. 2145 adopted as **Ordinance 2145**.

Bill No. 2146 – An ordinance of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, amending Section 98-200 of Article VII on speed restrictions of the Ladue Municipal Code to establish a speed limit on Meadow Acres Lane of twenty-five miles per hour.

Mayor Spewak read Bill No. 2146 for a first reading. Council Member Hensley read Bill No. 2146 for a second reading.

Chief Wooten stated that this bill is a companion bill to last month's "No Parking" ordinance and establishes the speed limit.

Council Member Brennan moved approval of Bill No. 2146, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, and the vote thereupon was as follows:

Council Member Brennan	"Aye"
Council Member Hensley	"Aye"
Council Member Burroughs	"Aye"
Council Member Fox	"Ave"

There being four aye votes and zero nay votes the motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Spewak declared Bill No. 2146 adopted as Ordinance 2146.

Bill No. 2147 – An ordinance providing for Ameren Missouri to provide notification of new electric service customers for the purpose of accurate resident names and authorizing payment for the same.

Mayor Spewak read Bill No. 2147 for a first reading. Council Member Brennan read Bill No. 2147 for a second reading.

Council Member Hensley moved approval of Bill No. 2147, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, and the vote thereupon was as follows:

Council Member Hensley	"Aye"
Council Member Burroughs	"Aye"
Council Member Fox	"Aye"
Council Member Brennan	"Aye"

There being four aye votes and zero nay votes the motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Spewak declared Bill No. 2147 adopted as Ordinance 2147.

Bill No. 2148 - An ordinance amending Sections II, V, and XIV of Ordinance 1175, the Zoning Ordinances of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, concerning erosion control, green space and lot coverage; and amending Chapters 94 and 110 of the Ladue Code of Ordinances regarding stormwater requirements for subdivisions and re-subdivisions, erosion control, and post-construction stormwater requirements.

Mayor Spewak read Bill No. 2148 for a first reading. Council Member Burroughs read Bill No. 2148 for a second reading.

Council Member Hensley moved approval of Bill No. 2148, seconded by Council Member Brennan, and the vote thereupon was as follows:

Council Member Burroughs	"Aye"
Council Member Fox	"Aye"
Council Member Brennan	"Aye"
Council Member Hensley	"Ave"

There being four aye votes and zero nay votes the motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Spewak declared Bill No. 2148 adopted as Ordinance 2148.

Resolution No. 2017-06 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with Zobrio, Inc. for information technology support services.

Council Member Fox moved adoption of Resolution No. 2017-06, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, which motion passed unanimously.

Resolution No. 2017-07 - A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with Tech Electronics, Inc. for fire alarm monitoring services for the new Fire House No.1 and Fire House No. 2.

Council Member Burroughs moved adoption of Resolution No. 2017-07, seconded by Council Member Fox, which motion passed unanimously.

Resolution No. 2017-08 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with Gamma Tree Experts for emergency debris removal.

Ms. Lamitola stated that the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) recommends having established pricing ahead of an emergency event and in order to maintain eligibility for FEMA reimbursement of City expenses in response to an emergency event.

Council Member Fox moved adoption of Resolution No. 2017-08, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

Resolution No. 2017-09 - A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with Corrective Asphalt Materials, LLC for the application of Reclamite on Price Road from Clayton Road to the northern municipal limits.

Council Member Fox moved adoption of Resolution No. 2017-09, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

Resolution No. 2017-10 – A resolution authorizing the mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with CBIZ, Inc. for a comprehensive employee compensation and benefits study.

Ms. Rider stated that the City Council had granted approval to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a compensation and benefits study for the non-uniform employees at their January meeting. After discussions with staff, it was determined to be in the best interest of the City to include an add alternate to the RFP for a compensation study for the uniform employees. The RFP was issued on February 6th. The City received four proposals with CBIZ submitting the lowest bid of \$13,000 for the non-uniform study and \$5,000 for the uniform study, totaling \$18,000. There may be an additional cost for focus group meetings but there will be a cap of \$2,000 placed on this option. Ms. Rider reminded the Council that the cost for this study is not included in the 2017 budget; therefore, a budget amendment will be presented to Council at a later date.

Council Member Hensley moved adoption of Resolution No. 2017-10, seconded by Council Member Burroughs, which motion passed unanimously.

Financial Matters:

Mayor Spewak announced that the annual audit is scheduled for the week of April 10th.

The Council reviewed the **vouchers for payment** for the month of February 2017. Council Member Burroughs moved their approval, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

The Mayor and Council reviewed the Treasurer's and Collector's reports for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the reports filed for information.

Due to year-end closing there were no February 2017 financial reports.

The Mayor and Council reviewed the Cash Flow Summary for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed for information.

The Mayor and Council reviewed the Delinquent Real Estate Tax Report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed for information.

Department Reports:

Fire Department: The Mayor and Council reviewed the Fire Department Activity Report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed.

Chief Lynn reported that Engine 3914's transmission repair has been completed and it is back in service. The repair cost \$1,300.00 less than the estimate.

Ms. Collette Koscielski, with Paric/Navigate Building Solutions, gave a status update on the construction of new Firehouse No. 1. The sewer work on Price Road has been completed. Inside the building, drywall is going up and wiring has begun. Installation of the windows should be completed this week and work continues on the site utilities.

Ms. Koscielski presented the proposed plan for the new traffic signal for Fire House No. 1 which includes 1 pole with two arms for light signals and a second pole that will be placed east of the driveway to stop cars before they can block the Fire House driveway.

Ms. Koscielski stated that Charter will be the phone and internet provider for the new Fire House. Charter is unable to begin any work on the site until they have a contract with the City. Charter is reviewing the standard City contract but so as not to delay the work authorization is requested for the Mayor to sign a contract once the terms and conditions are finalized.

Council Member Fox moved approval to authorize the Mayor to execute a contract with Charter for phone and internet service for Fire House No. 1 upon finalization of the terms and conditions, seconded by Council Member Hensley, which motion passed unanimously.

Police Department: The Mayor and Council reviewed the Police Activity Report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed.

Public Works Department: The Mayor and Council reviewed the Public Works report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed.

Ms. Lamitola reported that MSD's Deer Creek Sanitary Sewer Relief Project Phase II will begin construction within Ladue in 2018. MSD will host informational meetings open to the public. MSD is considering approaching the City about obtaining approval for the ability to blast through rock to speed up construction.

Building Office Report: The Mayor and Council reviewed the Building Office report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed.

Municipal Court: The Mayor and Council reviewed the Municipal Court Report for February 2017. The Mayor ordered the report filed.

Administration/City Clerk: Ladue Police Sergeant Dennis Pohlmann presented the deer population estimate summary report based on data collected from spotlight surveys conducted in late January and early February 2017 and reviewed the deer harvest report from the 2016 archery season.

City Attorney: Ms. Seele had nothing to report.

Appointments: None

Mayor Spewak noted that the next meetings are scheduled for Monday, April 24th and Monday, May 15th.

Mayor Spewak advised there were items for discussion in executive session involving litigation, legal matters and/or confidential communications between the Council and its attorneys under RSMo. Section 610.021 (1).

Council Member Fox moved to go into closed session for discussion of litigation, legal actions and/or any confidential or privileged communications from the City Attorney pursuant to RSMo. 610.021 (1) seconded by Council Member Hensley and the vote thereupon was as follows:

Council Member Hensley	"Aye"
Council Member Burroughs	"Aye"
Council Member Fox	"Aye"
Council Member Brennan	"Aye"

There being four aye votes and zero nay votes the motion passed unanimously.

The Mayor and Council, with the exception of Council Members Hiemenz and Howell who were absent, went into closed session at 5:39 PM with Ms. Erin Seele.

The Mayor and Council returned to open session at 5:45 PM with Ms. Erin Seele.

Adjournment: Council Member Burroughs moved adjournment, seconded by Council Member Brennan, which motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 5:45 PM.

APPROVED THIS 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 2017.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

1	
2	
3	
4	MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
5	CITY OF LADUE
6	LADUE, MISSOURI
7	
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:)
10)
11	CITY COUNCIL)
12	9345 CLAYTON ROAD)
13	LADUE, MISSOURI 63124)
14	
15	Monday, March 27, 2017
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	0.
21	BOBBIE LUBER, LLC
22	Certified Court Reporters
23	P.O. Box 31201 ~ 1015 Grupp Road ~ St. Louis, MO 63131
24	314.541.3179
25	

111	
1	MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
2	CITY OF LADUE
3	LADUE, MISSOURI
4	
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF:)
7)
8	CITY COUNCIL)
9	9345 CLAYTON ROAD)
10	LADUE, MISSOURI 63124)
11	
12	
13	BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 27th day of
14	March, 2017, hearing was held before the City Council
15	of the City of Ladue, Missouri, at Ladue City Hall,
16	9345 Clayton Road, in the City of Ladue, State of
17	Missouri 63124, regarding the above-entitled matter
18	before Bobbie L. Luber, Certified Court Reporter,
19	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand
20	Reporter, a Notary Public within and for the State of
21	Missouri, and the following proceedings were had.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
4	Mayor Nancy Spewak, Chairperson
5	Mr. Bill Brennan
6	Mr. Hal Burroughs
7	Mr. John R. Fox
8	Mr. Patrick Hensley
9	
10	Also Present:
11	Ms. Anne Lamitola, Public Works Director
12	Mr. Will Penney, Building Official
13	Ms. Laura Rider, City Clerk
14	Ms. Erin Seele, City Attorney
15	Ms. Andrea Sukanek, City Planner
16	
17	Court Reporter: Bobbie L. Luber
18	Registered Professional Reporter #9209 Missouri CCR #621
19	Illinois CSR #084.004673
20	Bobbie Luber, LLC P.O. Box 31201
21	St. Louis, MO 63131 (314) 541-3179
22	bluber@lubercourtreporting.com
23	
24	
25	

1	(The Meeting of the City Council of the City
2	of Ladue was called to order at 4:00 p.m.)
3	
4	MAYOR SPEWAK: Hi, everybody. I'm going to
5	call the meeting to order.
6	At this time I'm going to ask for a motion
7	to adopt the agenda with an amendment to remove D-2
8	from the agenda under department reports. We pulled
9	the request for the LiveScan fingerprint machine until
10	we get a little bit more information. And so that
11	will probably come back to us next time.
12	COUNCILMAN FOX: Mayor, I would move the
13	adoption of the agenda deleting item D-2.
14	MAYOR SPEWAK: Second?
15	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: Second.
16	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
17	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
18	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
19	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
20	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
21	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed?
22	Okay. And now a motion to approve the
23	minutes of the regular and closed meetings of February
24	21st?
25	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: So moved.

1	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Second.
2	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
3	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
4	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
5	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
6	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
7	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed?
8	Okay. At this time I recognize anyone who
9	wishes to address us on city matters. Yes, sir.
10	AUDIENCE: I would like to thank you, you
11	promptly and quickly addressed the problem we had on
12	Pine Acre Road onto McKnight, and I want to thank you.
13	MAYOR SPEWAK: Thank you very much. We
14	appreciate that.
15	Anyone else wish to address the Council or
16	questions? Okay. We are going to move on to Public
17	Hearing Number 1.
18	The City Council will now hold a public
19	hearing on a recommendation submitted by the Zoning
20	and Planning Commission that the City Council approves
21	a special use permit with DRA Properties to construct
22	a stealth communication tower at a height of 85 feet.
23	The proposed tower is designed to look like a pine
24	tree with foliage not to exceed 88 feet. The tower
25	will be located at 9810 South Outer Forty Road, the

```
west side of the property, in the D residential zoning
1
    district.
2
                Do I have a motion to open the public
3
    hearing?
                COUNCILMAN FOX: So moved.
5
                COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Second.
6
                MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
7
                COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
8
                COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
9
10
                COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
                COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
11
                MAYOR SPEWAK: Let's hear first from Erin.
12
                MS. SEELE: Just for the record, tonight's
13
    public hearing notice, as well as the entire records
14
    the city maintains, and the entire files and reports
15
    and the Zoning and Planning recommendations are here
16
    and available for review, as well as the entire
17
    Ordinance 1175, the Code of Ordinances, and they are
18
    made a part of the official record for tonight's
19
    hearing.
20
                MAYOR SPEWAK: I see Doug Dolan is here
2.1
    with DRA Properties, and so I will ask Doug to give
22
    his presentation, and then we will ask Andrea Sukanek,
23
    our city planner, to give us her thoughts.
2.4
                MR. DOLAN: I will be happy to.
25
```

6

———Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 ——

Mayor, and members of council, my name is 1 Doug Dolan, with Dolan Realty Advisors. We develop 2 stealth monopines for communities such as Ladue. 3 We have before you == perhaps it makes 4 sense to start off with a photo simulation. You may 5 have seen this before. This has been through both the 6 Zoning Board of Adjustment and it's gone through 7 Planning and Zoning. And they both gave their 8 favorable recommendation. 9 This site is the proposed course for the 10 mulch facility. It was mentioned by the mayor it's a 11 height of 85 feet full height, and 3 feet of foliage, 12 making it 88 feet in total. 13 We worked closely with the Public Works 14 Department to come up with an acceptable site. There 15 are a lot of things going on at the mulch facility. 16 The new project that is proposed. There is also a 17 hiking and biking trail as it's proposed, and so this 18 design incorporates those factors too. 19 I'm going to show you the site plan, 20 proposed site plan that was all part of your package 21 that was sent out earlier, but the proposed site, I 22 can share these with any members of the audience if 23 they want to see this too. 24

————Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

25

The proposed site is right in this area

1	right here. The proposed MSD line is going to come
2	through here, this gray area, and also a secondary
3	line is going to come through here.
4	At one time perhaps there was a home at
5	that site, and we are next door or just south of the
6	proposed the former home on the property. So we
7	are near the entrance to the mulch facility.
8	COUNCILMAN FOX: Inside the gate?
9	MR. DOLAN: Yes, we are inside the gate.
10	Again, this is dependent upon an acceptable lease
11	being worked out with the city. We submitted a draft
12	proposal that is being reviewed and discussed with the
13	city. This, of course, is not to sign the lease or
14	approve the lease, but this is for the zoning. At
15	which point at a subsequent meeting we would have a
16	meeting to approve the lease.
17	COUNCILMAN FOX: Some of us were just over
18	at the new firehouse to see that tree. This one is
19	going to be identical to that one?
20	MR. DOLAN: That is correct, exactly size
21	and all.
22	MR. HENSLEY: The same height.
23	MR. DOLAN: Yes, the same height.
24	MR. BOMMARITO: Question.
25	MAYOR SPEWAK: Yes, Mayor Bommarito.

```
MR. BOMMARITO: Is there any data building
1
    attached to the tower?
2
                MR. DOLAN: At the base will be a Verizon
3
    Wireless cabinet. It will be a closed cabinet. It
4
    will not be exposed.
 5
                MR. BOMMARITO: Will it look like the one
 6
    at the firehouse, that's next to the firehouse?
7
                MR. DOLAN: No. That's an AT&T shelter.
8
    That's a much larger shelter. Verizon has put an
9
    annex. They are about this high and about this wide...
10
    It will look more like a Sprint compound, which is
11
    behind the building.
12
                MR. BOMMARITO: Does it architecturally fit
13
    in with the city, or is it just another prefab piece
14
    of fabric?
15
                MR. DOLAN: It's a metal equipment cabinet
16
    It will be concealed behind a decorative fence.
17
18
                MR. BOMMARITO: Have you seen it?
19
                MS. LAMITOLA: We don't have the fence up
20
    yet.
                MR. DOLAN: That's correct. It's not a
21
    finished project yet. The fence we were asked to keep
22
    down because they were in the midst of building the
23
    fire station and it would be in the way.
24
25
                COUNCILMAN FOX: Tony, as you know, it's a
```

———Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 ——

1	really tight site there for the firehouse, and so we
2	didn't want the new fence going up until we were
3	finished.
4	MAYOR SPEWAK: We are going to landscape
5	around it. It's really not visible for anybody from
6	the mulch site.
7	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Is it a 24-hour
8	access?
9	MR. DOLAN: They prefer 24-hour access.
10	Only in the case of an emergency in the evenings
11	because the usage is the lowest in the middle of the
12	night, so there are times where they prefer to get in
13	the middle of the night.
14	MAYOR SPEWAK: We worked out that they are
15	going to have access to the gate on the site. Whoever
16	the providers are, they end up being on the main
17	tower, we have access. Much like if they had access
18	to the firehouse.
19	MR. HENSLEY: A magnetic hide-a-key.
20	MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay.
21	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Will all four of them
22	go in?
23	MR. DOLAN: We can design it for all four.
24	It can be Sprint, Verizon, T-Mobile. The initial
25	carrier that has shown the initial interest is Verizon

Wireless. 1 MAYOR SPEWAK: Could you address also 2 basically how this is going to improve, if they are 3 not close to a tower. 4 MR. DOLAN: Right. I think perhaps a half 5 mile from this tree will have very good coverage. 6 This tree, this site, will help all the neighboring 7 sites, because when you have a dropped call, you have 8 probably a slow data speed. That's overuse of 9 existing sites. They are fighting capacity issues 10 now. So dropped calls can result from overuse of the 11 existing site. So building a new site will offload 12 the pressure from the neighboring sites. So it will 13 help the whole network work better. 14 COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: So, across the street 15 is the -- at 9811, and there are cell towers on that 16 building now. They are built into the antenna system 17 on the top of the building. Is that the same people 18 that are going on this? 19 MR. DOLAN: No. That's -- AT&T and 20 T-Mobile are on the rooftop at the NCR building. They 21 plan to stay there for now. We are going to offer 22 them an attractive alternative to that site, but there 23 are no plans. 24 MAYOR SPEWAK: Sir, do you have a comment? 25

——Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	MR. TIERNEY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you
2	very much.
3	I'm Michael Tierney. I live at 10 Twin
4	Springs Lane. We live adjacent to the proposed cell
5	phone tower. I will be brief.
6	My wife and I are just simply opposed to
7	this. I think we are swimming upstream on this one.
8	And I won't go to any great length about why we are
9	opposed to it. I think we are carrying some anger.
10	It's ugly. We will be able to see it through the
11	trees through various times of the year. Whether
12	there is a healthcare issue as a result of living so
13	close proximity to a microwave tower, I don't know.
14	But I think the horse is pretty much out of the barn
15	on this.
16	Matt and Jane, who also live on
17	Twin Springs, who may be able to see it better than we
18	will, or worse than we will, have also asked me to let
19	you know that they are opposed to the tower.
20	Having said that, Mike and Terri Hennessey
21	are here. Mike, he is a very shy person and doesn't
22	want to speak. He is not going to get aggravated with
23	me.
24	AUDIENCE: Is this contract with Ladue and
25	you, is it allowable for you to charge the other three

carriers? 1 MR. DOLAN: Correct. That's the agreement 2 that we are working on. The business terms are not 3 settled yet, but the agreement for each additional carrier will be additional revenue. 5 AUDIENCE: And how much is the revenue that 6 7 is proposed? MAYOR SPEWAK: We are in the negotiation 8 fee with it, and I don't think that we want to discuss 9 it. But we have a proposal from DRA, and we are 10 reviewing that, and we will be going back to them with 11 a counterproposal. 12 AUDIENCE: Ma'am, one last question. And 13 this may not be the appropriate time for this. But 14 are you able to give us any insight into the proposed 15 development plans, if that's what you can call them, 16 with the mulch site generally. We have read in the 17 newspaper that the city is going to contract, in 18 effect use it as temporary storage site, contract for 19 the removal of the branches and leaves and things as 20 that. What's the latest on that? 21 MAYOR SPEWAK: Sure. As you know the 22 Council voted last month to discontinue free mulch, 23 the compost delivering free mulch to residents. The 24

————Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

site itself is still going to be used as a collection

25

facility for the leaf and brush collection program.

There are no plans to sell the site, develop the site.

A lot of the site is floodplain issue, and wouldn't be able to be developed.

2.5

We have had as part of a beautifying Ladue efforts early on -- we had a proposal to have a trailhead at Clayton Road and the 40 access road, and then it would wind the trail going through the mulch site. That's only proposed. I put it in quotes because there is no funding for it, but that is a possibility that we wanted to do a trail that would connect most of the third ward coming to this district through that trail on the access road. But we have to have funding for that.

so if the mulch == the mulch, the actual composting operation were still, if we had voted to continue it rather than to discontinue it, we would have still put a stealth tower there, and everything was designed to work around that mulching operation.

So, for now and for the foreseeable future there is no plans to do anything with it other than to use it as our own site. We own it.

AUDIENCE: Is there any way that the city would contract with the hauling company to do mulching at the site?

____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	MAYOR SPEWAK: No, we are not going to be
2	doing mulching at the site. But Anne is talking with
3	St. Louis Composting.
4	MS. LAMITOLA: It will be used for the
5	haul-off of material. So it will be used as a
6	transfer facility. It will be collected, as the mayor
7	stated, by city crews and taken to the mulch site
8	where it will be stored until it can be hauled off.
9	Right now one of the issues, we do
10	double-grinding with the brush to make our wood-chip
11	mulch. Now we will be single-grinding it, and it will
12	be trucked off.
13	MAYOR SPEWAK: So, that will be less
14	grinding.
15	MS. LAMITOLA: Slightly less.
16	MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. Does that answer your
17	question?
18	AUDIENCE: It does. Thank you. I didn't
19	realize that it would still be grinding happening.
20	MS. LAMITOLA: To reduce the volume so it
21	can be hauled off at a more cost-effective rate.
22	MAYOR SPEWAK: And to go back to your view
23	from your house about the cell tower. Have you been
24	on Mr. Tierney's property?
25	MR. DOLAN: No.

1	MAYOR SPEWAK: So, would you be willing to
2	meet with Mr. Tierney and his neighbor, and show where
3	it will be and possibly offer some landscaping if that
4	would be helpful to you to conceal the tower?
5	MR. DOLAN: We had another case recently
6	where we met with the neighbor and we were able to put
7	some pine trees to help screen.
8	AUDIENCE: Thanks. We appreciate that.
9	That's a nice offer. We appreciate that. I will work
10	that out with you.
11	MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. Anyone else? I think
12	it's so positive. Andrea, do you want to give the
13	city's perspective on this?
14	MS. SUKANEK: Sure. The tower meets all
15	the basic setbacks requirements as far as distance
16	from the property and distance from the building. And
17	it has four different variances for the height of 88
18	feet, it's above the limit is 80 feet, this is 88
19	feet. So that being above the surrounding trees by
20	more than 5 feet.
21	There is a requirement in the zoning
22	district it can be on the lot that exceeds two acres
23	but that house is occupied by a church, school, public
24	cemetery. This property exceeds the two acres, but
25	it's not occupied by a church, school, public

1	cemetery, and so they got a variance for that.
2	And then also for the fence, that it's
3	vinyl. The restrictions are that it be stone and
4	brick, and so they got a variance for that as well.
5	So, based on the variances and meeting the
6	setbacks they pretty much basically meet the
7	requirements. They did receive a positive
8	recommendation from Zoning and Planning. Most of the
9	conditions are recommended as very basic, with the
10	addition of the lease agreement, and then the sharing
11	of the gates, which I guess has been sort of started
12	to be worked out, although there is still some work to
13	do on that.
14	And I guess there is some question about
15	approval from MSD. I guess MSD had a comment.
16	MR. DOLAN: We had preliminary approval
17	from MSD, and there might still be questions, and so I
18	recontacted MSD and I have not heard back, but we will
19	continue to followup with that.
20	MS. SUKANEK: I looked at the site earlier
21	today, and it was shedding a few pine needles. Is
22	that related to
23	MR. DOLAN: For some reason we have a
24	dozen, a couple dozen of these laying at the bottom.

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 ----

1	with hail, storm, or any activity, on top of the work
2	could cause it.
3	COUNCILMAN FOX: Wait a minute. The
4	construction guys didn't do anything like that.
5	That's not going to work. It's all cleaned up on the
6	other side, on the contractor's side.
7	MR. DOLAN: It's all on one side of the
8	tree. It's unclear what caused it. They have done
9	hundreds of these trees, it's a new event for them.
10	MAYOR SPEWAK: We want it to be realistic,
11	but not that realistic.
12	COUNCILMAN FOX: It's not supposed to be a
13	deciduous pine tree.
14	MS. SUKANEK: I think that was all I had
15	about it.
16	MAYOR SPEWAK: A couple of questions for
17	you. We have got the 8-foot fence to surround the
18	tower, the cabinet. Is there a landscaping I
19	thought there was a landscape around that fence.
20	MS. SUKANEK: I don't know that we have
21	discussed landscaping.
22	MR. DOLAN: We have some natural
23	landscaping on one side to come out. We are open to
24	whatever the city needs as reasonable.
25	MAYOR SPEWAK: Should we add that to the

Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

```
requirement that the landscaping where appropriate.
1
    And then also so that would be for the 8-foot fence,
2.
    Andrea, but then also put it in as a condition that
3
    DRA will meet with the two properties' owners that
4
    have a view of the tower from their property, and will
5
    work with them to add appropriate landscaping.
6
                MS. SUKANEK: So those would be the
7
8
    property owners?
                MAYOR SPEWAK: Do what we can.
9
    Mr. Tierney, what's your number.
10
                MR. TIERNEY: I'm at number 10. The
11
    Hennesseys would like to attend, Your Honor.
12
                MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. What are the
13
    addresses?
14
                MR. TIERNEY: 10 and 11.
15
                MS. SUKANEK: And it's approved by the
16
    city.
17
                MAYOR SPEWAK: As approved by the city.
18
                COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: Condition 8 should
19
    be condition 7.
20
                MS. SUKANEK: Okay.
21
                COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: It is no longer used
22
23
    for its original communications.
                MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. Anything else that we
24
    want to add into the SUP requirement?
25
```

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

1	MS. SEELE: We may want to add this. We
2	don't actually have MSD approval yet.
3	MAYOR SPEWAK: Yes. Subject to final MSD
4	approval.
5	MS. SUKANEK: Right. So our added
6	conditions are: Subject to MSD approval, subject to a
7	review of the adjacent property owners, landscaping
8	where appropriate. And I guess was that all?
9	MAYOR SPEWAK: Landscaping where
10	appropriate on the site at the fence line. And then a
11	review of the landscape options to shield the view
12	from properties numbered 10 through 14 on Twin Springs
13	Lane. Thank you.
14	MS. SEELE: All subject to the approval of
15	the city.
16	MAYOR SPEWAK: All subject to the approval
17	of the city.
18	MS. SUKANEK: And did you want to have any
19	kind of additional condition relating to the shedding
20	of the pine needles?
21	MAYOR SPEWAK: I think we'll work through
22	that, because we don't want them to shed.
23	MR. HENSLEY: Will public works have
24	access? Is there lighting or anything?
25	MAYOR SPEWAK: That's in the conditions.

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

1	MS. SUKANEK: Yes. Written documents shall
2	be prepared to agree on the maintenance and access of
3	the gates.
4	COUNCILMAN FOX: Maintenance and access was
5	required in the Zoning and Planning approval of the
6	tree that's behind the firehouse, and so if you would
7	use language similar to that.
8	MS. SUKANEK: Sure.
9	MAYOR SPEWAK: That's in conditions.
10	MS. SUKANEK: It is number 6.
11	MAYOR SPEWAK: And I guess there is only
12	one other question from me. At night when they
13	service the tree, somebody just said lights over here,
14	how does that work?
15	MR. DOLAN: There is no real light. Maybe
16	a small security light above the door not the door,
17	there may be a small security light on the equipment
18	themselves.
19	MAYOR SPEWAK: If they get up there in the
20	tree at night
21	MR. DOLAN: No, they wouldn't do any of
22	that work at midnight. This is maybe a three-month
23	thing, six-month at the most. The equipment, it would
24	be on the ground.
25	MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay Any further comments

1	from the public? All right.
2	Is there a motion to close the public
3	hearing?
4	COUNCILMAN FOX: So moved.
5	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: Second.
6	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
7	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
8	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
9	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
10	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
11	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed?
12	Any further comment from the Council? Is
13	there a motion to approve the special use permit with
14	the three conditions that have just been outlined in
15	the public hearing?
16	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: Second.
17	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
18	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
19	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
20	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
21	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
22	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed? Okay. Thanks.
23	AUDIENCE: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	MAYOR SPEWAK: Thank you. Thanks for
25	coming.

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

1	Okay. Next we will go to the City
2	Council will hold a public hearing on a recommendation
3	submitted by the Zoning and Planning Commission that
4	the City Council approves a proposed amendment to the
5	Zoning Ordinance 1175 and the Ladue Code of Ordinances
6	regarding development standards for stormwater
7	management and erosion control. Proposed changes to
8	the ordinance include green-space requirements for
9	residential development, definition of green space,
10	stormwater management requirements for new
11	construction, and erosion control requirements during
12	construction. The amendment also includes a proposed
13	change to Chapter 94 of the Ladue Code of Ordinances
14	regarding requirements for stormwater management in
15	subdivisions. Is there a motion to open the public
16	hearing?
17	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: So moved.
18	COUNCILMAN FOX: Second.
19	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
20	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
21	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
22	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
23	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
24	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed?
25	Okay. I think, Andrea, it would be
	Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

appropriate for you to go through this.

2.1

MS. SUKANEK: Right. Almost a year ago we started meeting to talk about what kind of new recommendations we wanted to make as far as future development to protect the city from stormwater runoff, and the committee that was working on that, the resident standard subcommittee, came up with a few recommendations. The basics were doing some kind of green-space requirement on residential lots. And then we also had some things for making sure that subdivisions, that they had more standards for the retention that they had to do. And then there were things for basic residential construction like sump pumps and for downspouts, and just to make sure that they couldn't do anything that would cause more stormwater problems.

And then the results of erosion control.

We kind of beefed up that a little bit. There were some things that it wasn't real clear that some of the properties needed to do. There is a land-survey permit. But there are lots that don't quite qualify for the land-survey permit, and so we wanted to make sure that those were covered and that they wouldn't be able to get away with doing less than what they should do.

—Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	Those were kind of the basic requirements,
2	We took those to the Zoning and Planning Commission,
3	and came up with some changes to the ordinances. I
4	don't know in what detail you want me to go through
5	these, but there were some changes to the zoning
6	ordinance.
7	The green-space requirements, that's kind
8	of a major one, and so I will go through that one with
9	some detail.
10	We wanted to have District A, the minimum
11	green-space would be 80 percent. District C would be
12	75 percent. District C would be 65 percent. District
13	D, 55 percent. District E, 40 percent. E-1, 40
14	percent. And that would be keeping the existing
15	restrictions of the 30 percent yard coverage, and the
16	25 percent side-yard coverage. That would not change
17	but there would be additional green-space requirements
18	for those.
19	And then we did the sides to allow for
20	permeable pavement as well, and that would allow for
21	those basic requirements. And then those different
22	types of permeable pavements are defined in the
23	restrictions. Any questions about that stuff?
24	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: When I sat in on the

Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

meeting we had, there was a study done, but most

25

people were complying with the current standards but this will force where we are headed.

1.5

MS. SUKANEK: Exactly. We did some research on it to make sure this wasn't out of line with what has been done in the past. Some of them were close, and so it's possible that some of those residences that were built in the past, I don't know, five years, ten years, might have to adjust slightly, but it wouldn't be out of line with what has been done for the past few years. So it seems to be about the right amount. It was also based on other municipalities, they are doing similar things. So the percentages are not out of line with what is being done in the area.

As far as the -- as far as the subdivision requirements, basically we just wanted to make sure that things were up to MSD, and a lot of the other requirements. We were just trying to make sure that we are not duplicating what MSD is doing, and not doing something that conflicts with what they are doing, kind of supporting what they are doing, kind of bolstering their actions a little bit as far as in subdivisions.

For land disturbance --

COUNCILMAN FOX: Andrea, I think something

Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	that seems very important, the point 4 there about
2	retention, the detention basin swales and other
3	stormwater, would be designated as common ground or
4	located by the subdivision.
5	MS. SUKANEK: Right. We did talk about
6	that at our last meeting, and we determined that
7	specifically the swales or collection devices,
8	specifically for subdivision improvement, should go
9	into common ground and not for every individual lots,
10	That was the distinction we had at the last meeting.
11	MAYOR SPEWAK: So this is for new
12	subdivisions?
13	MS. SUKANEK: Right.
14	MAYOR SPEWAK: But it could apply to an
15	older subdivision that wanted to add detention.
16	MS. SUKANEK: Right. Or an old subdivision
17	that's dividing into individual lots or something like
18	that. It probably wouldn't I guess depending on
19	how it's designed. It could apply if somebody is
20	completely changing all the lot lines of the
21	subdivision.
22	MAYOR SPEWAK: So, an existing subdivision
23	if somebody buys up all the homes and starts to
24	reconfigure what they are building, it would apply on
25	that?

MS. SUKANEK: Right.

MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay.

MS. SUKANEK: And then land service is the next section there. Basically we just want to make sure that there is not going to be, you know, silt and other materials are able to flow onto other people's property. We just beefed that up a little bit and making sure that people know they have to use silt fences, and they have to submit a plan to control that erosion. I don't think there was anything hugely different in that section.

And then for existing -- I guess for new construction there is just an added restriction for downspouts for where your downspouts can flow to. We wanted to make sure that nothing was going onto adjacent property. It has to be at least 20 feet away from the property line. And ideally within the buildable area of the lot. Then the same basic things for sump pumps as well.

We had some more stuff in here for maintenance. Stormwater facilities, just to make sure that they are being maintained properly. MSD does a lot of that as well, but we wanted to be able to support MSD again by kind of requiring some backup of what they require.

————Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	MAYOR SPEWAK: Is it correct to say it is
2	the homeowner's responsibility to have their
3	detention/retention, their basins inspected?
4	MS. SUKANEK: Yes.
5	MAYOR SPEWAK: And that they get the letter
6	from MSD. We will keep a record of who has such
7	maintenance agreements recorded with MSD, but it is
8	the homeowner's responsibility. Much like you have a
9	sprinkler system, and they send you a letter and you
10	have to have a backflow device, and so it's the same
11	procedure.
12	MS. SUKANEK: Sure. MSD does require these
13	things, and so we basically just are requiring a copy
14	of what MSD gets. We will have to do that in order to
15	get a copy of that. But it is the homeowner's
16	responsibility to make sure they are conforming to
17	that every year or a couple of years.
18	MAYOR SPEWAK: Then we will have a
19	mechanism in the city to know who should be complying.
20	MS. SUKANEK: Right.
21	MAYOR SPEWAK: So we can deal with MSD if
22	they are falling behind.
23	MS. SUKANEK: I think we already have a
24	list of everybody that has a detention/retention and
25	kind of know what's going on.

1	I think I have covered the basics. Are
2	there more specific questions or anything else you
3	want to go into in more detail?
4	COUNCILMAN FOX: I think you and the Zoning
5	and Planning are to be congratulated on sticking to it
6	on this.
7	MS. SUKANEK: It has been a few months in
8	the making.
9	COUNCILMAN FOX: I would have lasted two
10	and a half hours. I appreciate the work that Z and P
11	does.
12	MAYOR SPEWAK: I also would like to
13	recognize the entire stormwater development standards
14	committee and Ann Carter is in the back, and she sat
15	on the committee. And so we greatly appreciate all
16	that you and your committee efforts did for us.
17	Stormwater is a tremendous problem in the
18	city, and we are seeing more and more homes need to be
19	developed and more and more spec homes coming in, and
20	we want to be sure to do what we can do to control
21	stormwater.
22	Planning and Zoning suggested, I think,
23	that we will watch it, and we may ask them to look at
24	it again in six months of your recommendations if we
25	need to. It's a great step forward, I think.

1	Did you have a question about this?
2	AUDIENCE: I was concerned whether it
3	imposed any obligation on the trustees now or existing
4	subdivision as it applied to any existing facility,
5	and it does not, and so I'm a happy man.
6	MAYOR SPEWAK: Mayor Bommarito.
7	MR. BOMMARITO: On the street called
8	Fieldcrest there are eight new homes. Does each one
9	get approval from the building department, and does it
10	pertain to any stormwater? The homes are twice as big
11	as the ones going in that are currently there.
12	MAYOR SPEWAK: Will, do you have any one
13	is going up already.
14	MR. PENNEY: We have got two right now, but
15	I believe they were reviewed under our current
16	standards, and if this gets adopted we will start
17	there.
18	MAYOR SPEWAK: Which is really my point,
19	that we need to get it in place. But I will also say
20	that we are fairly strict now. We are certainly
21	controlling the stormwater on those two houses.
22	Questions?
23	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS: Are there fines
24	attached?
25	MS. SUKANEK: I mean, it's the same types

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179

of fines that are attached now if you are in violation 1 of any of our ordinances. 2 MAYOR SPEWAK: Anybody else have any 3 questions? AUDIENCE: Is this a review in the 5 beginning and you come out at the end and say that's 6 not what we had, or is it going to be intermittent 7 visits, because we have a house in our subdivision. It's the dirt that came out of the foundation was just 9 piled up around, and now we have a five-foot high or 10 steeper fill. I'm not sure how they are going to 11 control it. What is the enforcement? 12 MAYOR SPEWAK: Well, Anne or Will. 13 MR. PENNEY: They are going to have to 14 abide by it. Obviously when we do plan review, 15 stormwater is one of the most looked-at things we do 16 right now. If that piling-up that dirt wasn't on 17 their original plan they can't do that. If it was we 18 thought the grades would be okay, and then they can do 19 that. But obviously it can't adversely affect 20 neighboring property. So we would have to take a look 21 at that to see if it was approved on their grading 22 23 plan. AUDIENCE: We had a house built next door 2.4 to us recently, and there was a lot of regrading done. 25

____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 ____

1	And we have a stormwater creek that runs between our
2	property and the new property. And before the
3	regrading was done, the creek always was dry unless
4	there was a storm with heavy rains. Now we have water
5	in there constantly. There is the regrading has
6	limited the runoff to I think I think the
7	stormwater goes to sinkholes behind our property. And
8	now we have water in the creek all the time. And I'm
9	afraid it's going to be a major mess this summer with
10	mosquitos and that.
11	And also because of the regrading behind us
12	the silt and road debris is backing up into our
13	property.
14	MAYOR SPEWAK: What is your address?
15	AUDIENCE: 50 Woodcrest. And the new house
16	is at the corner of Woodcrest and Lindbergh.
17	MAYOR SPEWAK: Where it comes down:
18	AUDIENCE: Exactly. And the property
19	behind us where the grading was changed is known as
20	the Wolfsberger (phonetic) property. I think it's
21	Deerfield. So I don't know if anything like that is
22	going to be addressed, but there was regrading done,
23	and it's adversely affected our property.
24	MAYOR SPEWAK: Regrading done on the new
25	home? I just want to make sure I understand it.

33

AUDIENCE: It was done 1 MAYOR SPEWAK: Where is the regrading? 2 AUDIENCE: Regrading was done at the new 3 home and at the property behind ours. There was a and the property behind us, there had been a culvert 5 pipe that -- the creek that affects us drained into, 6 and I assume that went to a sinkhole. And that 7 culvert pipe was removed. And ever since that was 8 done we have had problems. And I was told that that 9 would be addressed, but it hasn't. 10 MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. Have you -- Will, do 11 you want to followup on this? 12 MR. PENNEY: I can look at that. The 13 sinkholes in that area are a fairly major issue right 14 now. They are not draining like they used to. 15 MAYOR SPEWAK: Okay. 16 MS. LAMITOLA: So we have your information 17 in this office, my name is Anne Lamitola. And so next 18 month the stormwater committee will be presented with 19 the draft of the master plan. So -- and then from 20 there that will go on to committee, and then on to 21 Council. So today these regulations that Andrea 22 Sukanek is talking about, the development standards 23 for new projects and things like that, if you have a 24 specific complaint, if you let the building department 25

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

respond to that in terms of the new projects that are going to be addressing stormwater, that should be in this the master plan.

MAYOR SPEWAK: I think you bring up a good point about making sure that the project, that there are some measures to make sure that the grading is done appropriately. Obviously when it comes in we have the contours, we know the grading level. And so I think that we should look at internally do we need a check and balance in there before the end of the project, which I think is what you are saying, that before you get all the way with the dirt piled up let's be sure that it was approved to put it in back in that manner.

So let's internally look at how we can manage that. It doesn't necessarily have to be addressed as the standard, but it can be the implementation. And I really do think that's very important. So let's make a note to have some sort of a system.

MS. SUKANEK: We do have kind of a general statement, onto adjacent properties which is pretty broad and that covers things like grading and paving and things like those.

And then a lot of grading projects are

_____Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

supposed to come and get building permits. I don't know that we notice every single grading project.

There may be some that are supposed to come for building permits, but don't necessarily come. As long as we catch those we should be able to control those more.

MAYOR SPEWAK: Any other comments?

MAYOR SPEWAK: Any other comments?

Questions?

2.4

AUDIENCE: I have two questions. One is, are these standards higher than the standards that are required right now by MSD or DNR or EPA? The second question is, will these standards perhaps change or curtail some of the development practices going on right now that have been a little controversial to some people?

MS. SUKANEK: These are supposed to kind of go with MSD requirements, so some of them are fairly similar. MSD does not have like our green-space requirements, stuff like that. It is higher standards that MSD has right now. And the goal is to kind of, you know, to maintain the somewhat smaller houses on smaller lots, or to make sure that things are kind of in line so that each lot has some green space where they can absorb some of their own water and so water is not flowing out in the streets or other areas.

————Luber, LLC - 314.541.3179 —

1	Does that answer your question well enough?
2	AUDIENCE: It does, yes.
3	MAYOR SPEWAK: Anything else? Okay. If no
4	one else has any other comments then I would thank
5	you, Andrea.
6	I would ask for a motion to close the
7	public hearing.
8	COUNCILMAN FOX: So moved.
9	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Second.
10	MAYOR SPEWAK: All in favor?
11	COUNCILMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
12	COUNCILMAN BURROUGHS. Aye.
13	COUNCILMAN FOX: Aye.
14	COUNCILMAN HENSLEY: Aye.
15	MAYOR SPEWAK: Opposed?
16	(At this time the public hearing
17	continued.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	214 547 2170

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Bobbie L. Luber, Registered Professional
5	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public
6	within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby
7	certify that the meeting aforementioned was held on
8	the time and in the place previously described.
9	
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
11	and seal.
12	
13	4 p. D
14	Jakket Juke
15	Bobbie L. Luber, RPR, CCR #621
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	